FLEISCHMAN AND WALSH, L.L.P.

ATTORNEYS AT LAW
A PARTNERSHIP INCLUDING A PROFESSIONAL CORPORATION

1919 PENNSYLVANIA AVENUE, N. W.
SUITE 600
WASHINGTON, D. C. 20006
TEL (202) 939-7900 FAX (202) 745-0916

INTERNET www.fw-law.com

H. RUSSELL FRISBY (202) 939-7980 RFRISBY@FW-LAW.COM

June 8, 2007

VIA ELECTRONIC FILING

Ms. Marlene H. Dortch, Secretary Federal Communications Commission 445 12th Street, S.W. Washington, D.C. 20554

Re: Notice of Oral Ex Parte Communication, <u>In the Matter of Petition of TeleCommunication Systems</u>, <u>Inc. and HBF Group</u>, <u>Inc. for Waiver of Part 52 of the Commission's Rules</u>, <u>WCB Docket 99-200</u>

Dear Ms. Dortch:

On June 7, 2007, the undersigned as counsel, together with Timothy Lorello, Senior Vice President of TeleCommunication Systems, Inc. ("TCS"), and Richard H. Dickinson, Senior Director of Public Safety of TCS, met with Scott Bergmann, Legal Advisor on wireline issues to Commissioner Adelstein.

Petitioner discussed why the Federal Communications Commission's grant of the above-referenced petition is necessary to ensure the continued efficient provision and deployment of VoIP E911 service. The Petitioner also discussed why other approaches will result in a process that is both time consuming and inefficient.

Pursuant to the Commission's rules, 47 C.F.R. § 1.1206(b) (1), this letter, along with the material distributed at the meeting, is being filed electronically for inclusion in the record of the above-referenced proceeding.

Respectfully submitted,

H. Russell Frisby


Counsel to TeleCommunication Systems, Inc.

Attachment

cc: Scott Begmann

194518





p-ANI Assignment Challenges: Petition for Waiver

Timothy Lorello Sr. Vice President, Chief Marketing Officer

June 7th, 2007



Petition For Waiver



- TCS filed a Petition for Waiver
 - Petitioners provide support for significant VoIP coverage
 - As VPC, Petitioners acquired ESQKs following NENA model
 - Efficient use of ESQK numbering resources
 - Reduced testing required by PSAPs
 - Increased reliability due to fewer provisioned systems
 - Faster nationwide deployment for VoIP subscribers
 - Navin Letter indicated that CLEC registration is required
 - In every state in which service is offered (i.e., all 50 states)
 - Without waiver, Petitioners could be restricted from getting ESQKs
 - Petitioners are not CLECs and do not have nationwide registrations
 - Petitioners requested a continuation of the current model
- FCC has instructed Neustar to continue providing ESQKs for the interim
- Intrado filed comments on the Petition for Waiver




Intrado's Counterpoints



- Unprecedented velocity of VoIP deployments
 - Attributable to p-ANI access
- States have a vital interest in p-ANI resources and wish to regulate them
- Users of p-ANI need to have high integrity and subject to state level certifications
- Intrado is CLEC certified in 34 states & will obtain certifications where required
- Waiver is too broad
 - Waivers should be case-by-case where states are not granting CLEC status





- Unprecedented velocity of VoIP deployments
 - Attributable to p-ANI access
- Petitioners agree that unprecedented VoIP deployments have been due to all having access to the p-ANIs





 States have a vital interest in p-ANI resources and wish to regulate them

Petitioners point out that FCC has ruled that VoIP internet access is to be regulated at the Federal level





 Users of p-ANI need to have high integrity and subject to state level certifications

Petitioners have demonstrated the required level of integrity and have obtained CLEC registration in at least one state






 Intrado is CLEC certified in 34 states & will obtain certifications where required

Petitioners believe this is an illustration of the different business models that VoIP has stimulated



Wireline E9-1-1 Success









Intrado Model









NENA i2 Model









TCS/HBF Model








Impact on Call Transfers









- Waiver is too broad
 - Waivers should be case-by-case where states are not granting CLEC status

➤ Petitioners believe that such an approach would be time consuming, inefficient, and inappropriate for a service regulated at the Federal level



Future Course



Petitioners believe:

- ➤ That the current approach to p-ANI assignment has assisted in the very rapid VoIP E9-1-1 deployments
- ➤ That our current successes are a demonstration of commitment to integrity and prudent use of resources
- That VoIP, as expected, is introducing new business models
- That the requested waiver continues to have merit
- We respectfully request that the waiver be granted



Questions

Tim Lorello

SVP, Chief Marketing Officer (410) 280-1275 tlorello@telecomsys.com

Dick Dickinson

Sr. Director, Public Safety (206) 792-2322 ddickinson@telecomsys.com